

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/764,609            | 01/17/2001    | Donald W. Malackowski | 29997/035A              | 7844            |
| 75                    | 90 05/11/2005 |                       | EXAMINER                |                 |
| J. William Frank, III |               |                       | LEUBECKER, JOHN P       |                 |
| McCracken & F         | rank          |                       |                         | . <u> </u>      |
| 200 W. Adams          |               |                       | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| Suite 2150            |               |                       | 3739                    |                 |
| Chicago, IL 60606     |               |                       | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | <i>U</i>  |   |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | 09/764,609  | MALACKOWSKI E   | MALACKOWSKI ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |   |  |  |  |
|  | John P. Leubecker   | 3739  |   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence add   | dress   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF   | PPLICATION IN CONDITION   | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a f (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>  | lowing replies: (1) an amend<br>Notice of Appeal (with appeal<br>pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. T   | ment, affidavit, or other evid<br>fee) in compliance with 37  | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or                                      |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07  | ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN  |   | D WITHIN TWO  |  |  |  |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 April 2005. A bried date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reparameters. | statutory period for reply originally s<br>ths after the mailing date of the fin-<br>f in compliance with 37 CFR<br>any extension thereof (37 C | set in the final Office action; or (2 al rejection, even if timely filed, manual 41.37 must be filed within to FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismi | ) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any wo months of the issal of the |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further of  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE between the proposed to place the confliction in the   | consideration and/or search (<br>low);  | see NOTE below);  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling</li> </ul>   | a corresponding number of fi  |   | g the issues for  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)   |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(</li> </ul>  |   | Non-Compliant Amendmen  | t (PTOL-324).   |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).   | allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendn  | nent canceling  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  | n)  will not be entered, or be covided below or appended.   | o)⊠ will be entered and an  | explanation of  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-23,25-29,31-34,80-100,102,105</u> a   | and 106.  |   |   |  |  |  |

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1448) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

> Leubeckei Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739